P.O. Box 702

Austell, Georgia 30168 PH: 404-353-7386

Email: sharronm3@aol.com

CERTIFIED U.S. MAIL

May 13, 2004

Matthew J. Ross, FBI Special Agent Federal Bureau of Investigations 2635 Century Parkway NE, Suite 400 Atlanta, GA 30345

Re: Meeting of May 11, 2004

Dear Mr. Ross,

This letter is a confirmation of your conversation with Ajibola Laosebikan and me on Wednesday, May 11, 2004 in a meeting held at the Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI) office in Atlanta.

You confirmed that you had received the original Complainants Summary Report (CSR) and its revision, and the pleadings that were enclosed. You identified Paul Monnin as the representative of the U.S. Attorney's Office in Atlanta that you had spoken to regarding the charges the Complainant's Summary Report alleged against Coca-Cola and named attorneys. You stated that Mr. Monnin communicated to you that the U.S. Attorney's Office in Atlanta would not open an investigation into these allegations--citing,

- 1. that the allegations were not what the FBI and the U.S. Attorney's Office considered to be federal criminal offenses exploratory by these two offices.
- 2. that the information/documents provided--consisting of pleadings and a summary--was not sufficient evidence to initiate an investigation.
- 3. that the information/documents provided was more consistent with civil charges and as a result we should continue to pursue our cases in Federal Court and the Bar Association.
- 4. that our allegations did not fit/fall under violations of Title 18.
- 5. as a result of the U.S. Attorney's Office decision not to investigate, the FBI likewise would not investigate.

Being quite familiar with violations of 18 U.S.C., OCGA § 10, 16 and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, Mr. Laosebikan and I found your rationale for not initiating an investigation into allegations outlined in the CSR to be less than credible and began to point out specifics, including Title 18 violations within our claims that indeed fell within the jurisdiction of the FBI and U.S. Attorney's Office, and our not being afforded the opportunity to provide evidence and witnesses supporting our claims. I enclose for your perusal the Investigative Programs and Statues copied from the FBI's website that substantiate our position.

Mr. Laosebikan pointed out to you that he was instructed by the judge presiding over a criminal

case he filed in Fayette County to get the FBI, U.S. Attorney's Office and U.S. Marshall's Office involved because it was related to pending charges in a civil case in Federal Court. Mr. Laosebikan brought charges against his neighbor for participating in a conspiracy funded by Coca-Cola to break in to his home and attempted to murder him and his family to steal taped and documented evidence.

Additionally, I brought to your attention that the FBI, U.S. Attorney's Office and Securities Exchange Commission (SEC) became involved in Matthew Whitley's case based on newspaper articles in the Atlanta Journal Constitution, and based on information and belief, during any period before or after Matthew Whitley file civil charges against Coca-Cola did he contact any federal agency. In fact, I stated that there only has to exist a suspicion of criminal intent or a reasonable belief that a federal crime has been committed in order for these federal agencies to initiate an investigation. This also is clearly outlined in the Investigative Programs and Statues on the FBI's website.

Finally, after realizing you could no longer substantiate the rationale for not opening an investigation you admitted that the U.S. Attorney's Office had the discretion to open an investigation or not to investigate. You also stated that the FBI could open an investigation despite the U.S. Attorney's Office declining to do so, but because the U.S. Attorney's Office representative Paul Monnin stated that he would not prosecute even if our claims were substantiated through an investigation, the FBI decision was not to pursue our claims.

It appears that the FBI and the U.S. Attorney's Office's decision is either (1) racially motivated and/or (2) in collusion with Coca-Cola. It is with much difficulty that I draw this conclusion, but the FBI and the U.S. Attorney's Office conduct support no other reason. The very offices that were established to protect innocent citizens regardless of their ethnicity, gender, religion, social status and so forth, have actually done the contrary. The FBI and the U.S. Attorney's Office in Atlanta is condoning and supporting criminal conduct by a few wealthy, powerful and influential individuals while they rob the lives of everyday hardworking people who the foundation of the United States rests, lives and breathes. To this end, we will pursue justice elsewhere until justice is served.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Sharron Mangum

cc: Robert S. Mueller, III, Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation Federal Bureau of Investigation
J. Edgar Hoover Building
935 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20535-0001

John Ashcoft, Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001

William S. Duffey, Jr., U.S. Attorney United States Attorney's Office Richard B. Russell Federal Building 75 Spring Street, S.W. Suite 600 Atlanta, GA 30303-3309

Paul Monnin, Asst. U.S. Attorney, U.S. Attorney's Office United States Attorney's Office Richard B. Russell Federal Building 75 Spring Street, S.W. Suite 600 Atlanta, GA 30303-3309

Thurbert E. Baker, Attorney General of Georgia Office of the Attorney General of Georgia 40 Capitol Square Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Greg Jones, Special Agent in Charge Theodore Jackson, Special Agent in Charge Arthur D. Webster, Assistant Special Agent in Charge Harry W. Bowen III, Assistant Special Agent in Charge Howard H. Hatfield, Assistant Special Agent in Charge Federal Bureau of Investigation, Atlanta Suite 400 2635 Century Parkway, Northeast Atlanta, Georgia 30345-3112

Tangela Gaines Marietta Goodman Ajibola "Tai" Laosebikan Darryl Wallace