



Sharron D. Mangum

2000 Tulane Avenue #254 New Orleans LA 70119

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS Tracking #798596492938

Monday, April 21, 2014

Willie E. Gary
Gary, Williams, Parenti, Finney, Lewis, McManus & Sperando
DBA Gary, Williams, Lewis and Watson PL and Gary and Williams, Parenti, Watson & Gary PL
221 E. Osceola Street
Stuart, FL 34994

Re: Demand Letter and Notice of UCC-1 Commercial Lien

Dear Mr. Gary:

This letter serves as an official notice of my intent to exercise all legal options under the law that are available to me, which will include, but not limited to: invoking a UCC-1 commercial lien against you; your firm, Gary, Williams, Parenti, Finney, Lewis, McManus & Sperando now DBA Gary, Williams, Lewis and Watson PL and Gary, Williams, Parenti, Watson & Gary PL . (herein "Gary Law Group") and all others that conspired to and did convert monies received from The Coca-Cola Company (herein "Coca-Cola") that I was entitled to as your client in my Title VII claims against them for events that occurred during my employment and subsequent dismissal (See Mangum vs. Coca-Cola, 1:03-CV-2866 (RWS) and Mangum vs. Coca-Cola, 1:03-CV-00223).

As you well know, I am fully aware of the scheme perpetrated against me and the criminal acts, the unethical activities and the immoral misconduct of you and your co-conspirators to defraud me of my rightful entitlement as your client to Coca-Cola monies you received. I have been denied due process and equal protection under the law, which I know was due to the fraud and conspiracy perpetrated by you, the Gary Law Group, Holland & Knight, King & Spalding, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson and others.

These overt acts that you, the Gary Law Group, Coca-Cola, Holland & Knight, King & Spalding, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson and others have engaged in can easily be proven in the presence of a fair and impartial jury. These overt acts include, but are not limited to you:

1. Engaging in fraud and conspiracy with others, which I have recorded in conversations with individuals informing me of what happened in Motisola Abdallah, Linda Ingram et al v. Coca-Cola 01-CV-01336 (herein "Abdallah v. Coke"), and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola while you acted as an agent/employee or other of the Gary Law Group in representing my interests.

2. Failing to provide sworn affidavits of truth from The Coca-Cola Company, King and Spalding, Weldon Latham, Holland and Knight, Jesse Jackson and others, denying the fact that you or any entity/organization in which you all hold interests individually/collectively received any financial benefit/special concession(s) or any other from Coca-Cola directly or indirectly from an entity/organization acting in Coca-Cola's interests.
3. Concealing a financial transaction(s), special favors and monies received by you personally, or as an agent of the Gary Law Group, directly or indirectly from Coca-Cola, Holland & Knight, King & Spalding or any agent, employee, entity, organization or other acting on Coca-Cola's behalf before/during/after the litigation of Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola.
4. Participating in a side deal with Holland & Knight, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson and others, while you were an agent/employee or other of the Gary Law Group, under the disguise of diversity/racial equality or other programming for the Gary Law Group, its agents and/or any entity/organization in which you all hold interest individually/collectively to receive millions of dollars before/during/after the litigation of Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola.
5. Converting monies received from Coca-Cola for your own personal pecuniary use as well as those of Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson and others or any entity/organization in which you all hold interests individually/collectively before/during/after the litigation of Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola.
6. Conspiring and aiding and abetting Coca-Cola's scheme to defraud class plaintiffs of monies that they would have been entitled to under the Favorite Nations Clause in the class action settlement before/during/after the litigation of Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola (See p. 1, paragraph 1).
7. Converting funds received before/during/after the litigation of Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola from Coca-Cola during your representative of my Title VII claims against them for advancing your political association with former President, William Jefferson "Bill" Clinton and your political ambitions with his wife, former Secretary of State, Hilary Clinton, as the appointed co-chairperson during her first bid for the US Presidential seat in 2008.
8. Failing to provide a sworn affidavit stating that you, the Gary Law Group, Holland & Knight, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson, King & Spalding, Michael Johnston, Elizabeth Finn Johnson and all others or any entity/organization in which you all interest individually/collectively, did not participate in diverting or concealing any financial payment away from me as a lawful plaintiff in Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola.
9. Failing to provide a sworn affidavit denying that you, Weldon Latham, the Gary Law Group, Jesse Jackson and others or any entity/organization in which you all hold interest individually/collectively, received any part of the **Fifty Million Dollars (\$50,000,000 US)** which was allotted for "miscellaneous minority activism and minority non-profits" in the Coca-Cola class action settlement, Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola.
10. Sexually harassing and assaulting me when I refused your advances during the course of the litigation of my cases (See p. 1, paragraph 1).
11. Willfully participating with Coca-Cola; former Mayor of Atlanta, William Craig "Bill" Campbell (herein "Bill Campbell"); and others to orchestrate my wrongful termination and to defame my character after my unlawful firing, which I recorded, along with other evidence.



12. With malice and aforethought organized a meeting at an Atlanta, Georgia airport in which you and Bill Campbell coerced me with threats to drop my claims against Coca-Cola, which I recorded, along with other evidence.
13. Knowingly and willfully instructed me and your other sixteen Coca-Cola clients to obtain confidential documents from Coca-Cola in support of Title VII claims in Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola, which I recorded, along with other evidence.
14. Making false statements to the defendant, Coca-Cola, on my behalf and without my consent and misrepresenting my interests in court filings to support the dismissal of my case “with prejudice” precluding me from ever redressing this matter in the future, which I have recorded in conversations along with other evidence.
15. Turning a blind eye to judicial misconduct including, but not limited to, judges’ recusals and consenting to defendant’s motions that were not in my best interests during my case litigation.
16. Failing to notify me of your business contract with the defendant, Coca-Cola, during the course of my litigation, thereby preventing my right of seeking other representation on the matter.
17. Committing wire fraud in collusion with Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson, Holland and Knight, King and Spalding and others to conceal the financial benefit/special concessions or others bestowed upon you, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson, Holland and Knight, King and Spalding or any entity/organization in which they you all hold interest individually/collectively who participated in the litigation of Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola, which I have recorded in conversations with individuals informing me of what happened, along with other evidence.
18. Colluding with Gary Law Group’s attorneys—C.K. Hoffler, F. Shields McManus, and others; judges; Weldon Latham; Jesse Jackson; Holland and Knight; Coca-Cola; King and Spalding; and others to defraud me of my legal rights under the First, Fifth, Seventh and Ninth Amendment to the U.S Constitution, which I have recorded in conversations with individuals informing me of what happened in Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola, along with other evidence..
19. Concealing millions of dollars received by you, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson and others or any entity/organization in which you all hold interest, individually/collectively, on my behalf which was funded through alternate means from Coca-Cola or others serving in their interests for the unlawful disposal of my cases, which I have recorded in conversations with individuals informing me of what happened in Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola.
20. Engaging in criminal misconduct, threatening and intimidating behavior and hostility towards me for my failure to abide by you and your co-conspirators’ demands to remove information from the Internet that publicized the fraud and conspiracy perpetrated against others and me.
21. Committing fraud upon the court, obstructing justice and engaging in a conspiracy to obstruct justice.
22. Acting fraudulently and maliciously in the course of my litigation to cause me irreparable harm, including, but not limited to, loss of employment, shelter, life, liberty and justice.
23. Acts of fraud and illegal tampering with evidence, violation of 18 U.S.C. §1506.
24. Conspiracy to interfere with civil rights, violation of 42 U.S.C. §1985(3).
25. Violation of the Racketeer Influence and Corruption Org Act (“RICO”), 18 U.S.C. §1961-1968.
26. Violating your Fiduciary Duty to me as your client.

27. Violating the Organized Crime Control Act (“OCCA”).



These are just some of the examples of legal malpractice, fraud, and criminal acts, unethical activities and immoral conduct that you, Holland & Knight, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson, King & Spalding, Michael Johnston, Elizabeth Finn Johnson, the Gary Law Group and others have engaged in to defraud me of my constitutional rights to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness afforded every human being of these United States.

I have been fully apprised of these overt acts and know in fact that they constitute a crime. You and your co-conspirators have caused complete monetary, physical, mental and emotional devastation to me and my loved ones who supported me during the course of this litigation and thereafter. Accordingly, you, the Gary Law Group, and others will be held accountable to the letter of the law.

I will be filing a UCC-1 Commercial Lien against the Gary Law Group, The Coca-Cola Company, King and Spalding, and Holland & Knight for **One Billion, Five Hundred Million Dollars (\$1,500,000,000 US)** each, and a separate claim against you, Jesse Jackson, Weldon Latham, C.K. Hoffler, Bill Campbell, Michael Johnston and Elizabeth Finn Johnson for **One Hundred Million Dollars (\$100,000,000 US)** each.

Mr. Gary please let me reiterate, it is my intent to levy a UCC-1 Lien against you personally for **One Hundred Million Dollars (\$100,000,000 US)** and against your firm for **One Billion, Five Hundred Million Dollars (\$1,500,000,000 US)** if you fail to provide a sworn affidavit attesting, but not limited to, the following and the enumerations above:

1. Providing the breakdown of the **\$50 million dollars (\$50,000,000)** for “miscellaneous minority activism and minority non-profits” and any and all other monies received by you, Weldon Latham, Holland and Knight, Jesse Jackson, the Gary Law Group and all others or any entity/organization in which you all hold interest individually/collectively in the Coca-Cola class action settlement, Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola,
2. Providing the manner in which you, Coca-Cola, Holland & Knight, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson, King & Spalding, Michael Johnston, the Gary Law Group and others or any entity/organization in which you all hold interest individually/collectively diverted/concealed financial payment away from me as a lawful plaintiff in Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola, and
3. Providing the terms and conditions of any financial benefit, special concession(s) or other favors, figures and all other information under which you, Weldon Latham, Holland and Knight, Jesse Jackson, the Gary Law Group and others or any entity/organization in which you all hold interest individually/collectively, received from Coca-Cola or directly or indirectly from an agent/employee/entity/organization or other acting in Coca-Cola’s or others interests in performing fraud and conspiratorial acts during the litigation of Abdallah v. Coke, and my subsequent cases against Coca-Cola, and thereafter.

A Common Law Commercial Lien is a process that any Human Being can employ in order to obtain lawful remedy from the actions of another Human Being(s) who have- or have attempted to -or have conspired to - damage said Human in some way. The reason for this is very simple: Since all are equal under the LAW, then each Human Being has a Duty of Care to each other Human Being, such as to make sure that - whatever action we take towards each other - we have the Common Law behind those actions, and thus

can live together in peace. Abrogating said Duty of Care is a CRIMINAL ACT, and constitutes a tort.



Mr. Gary I know that you have created a tort or torts against My Human Self. I have been defrauded by you and your firm, the Gary Law Group and others.

The UCC-1 Commercial Lien process is a construct of the Common Law (The Law-of-the Land). Thus any Human Being residing in this country is subject to the Common Law above all else. And that includes the individual(s), to whom this Notice is addressed.

The process comprises:

1. I will write a Statement of Truth (Affidavit), under penalty of perjury. This being the case, what I will write will be "the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth", and will thus be based on first-hand knowledge.
2. You will be sent a copy of this Affidavit, comprising of my allegations. You will have to REBUT EACH POINT in order to ward off the possibility of a Lien. You will be given thirty (30) days to do so, but I can assure you that you will not be able to rebut EVEN ONE SINGLE POINT. You will need to rebut by means of a sworn Affidavit of your own, written under the same criteria, namely: From firsthand knowledge, and under penalty of perjury.
3. Any points you manage to rebut with proof will be removed from my allegations, and the remainder kept as my final Affidavit. The result will be Notarized (by a Notary Public) to become My Statement of Truth, which will not only become THE TRUTH, IN LAW- but will also become A JUDGMENT, IN LAW.
4. That being the case, no Hearing will be required. Because the judgment has already been made by the truth. (That's Common Law!)
5. I will then place a Public Advertisement, warning whomsoever may be concerned, that your creditworthiness is henceforth highly suspect. I will inform Credit Reference Agencies to this effect. I would then be LAWFULLY ENTITLED TO SIEZE ANY OF YOUR PROPERTY, up to (and including) the value of the Lien.
6. This process will occur in a LAWFUL manner- because you are given the chance to REBUT IN SUBSTANCE- and I will thus retain entirely 'clean hands'(unlike yourselves, which is why your mechanism is UNLAWFUL, and why I am able to counter it by this LAWFUL means).
7. As footnotes, I should add that:
 - a. Even if I make an honest mistake, WHICH YOU FAILED TO REBUT, my mistake BECOMES THE TRUTH, IN LAW. You will not be able to claim 'libel', 'slander', etc., because you will have been given thirty (30) days to rebut the allegations, before public announcement.
 - b. By a failure to REBUT IN SUBSTANCE, you would have tacitly acquiesced to my Statements as Truths, in Law.
 - c. REBUT IN SUBSTANCE does not comprise simply dismissing my allegations. That is mere gainsaying. "IN SUBSTANCE" means "accompanying with HARD proofs" (in this case, "to the contrary").
8. As a part of the Lien, I will demand a substantial sum in recompense/settlement of the damages.
9. Being Common Law construct, the only way this Lien can be removed is by:
 - a. Full Payment or settlement ... in which case I will remove is by

- b. The passage of 99 years
- c. The verdict of a Jury of twelve (12), deciding that the Lien should not have been imposed. But this will require YOU to take ME to a Court whereupon I will be able to explain (to said Jury) exactly how you took actions which comprised the tort(s) against me WITHOUT ANY LAWFUL EXCUSE WHATSOEVER. DO NOT, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES, ASSUME THAT ANY JUDGE CAN REMOVE A LIEN. A JUDGE CANNOT DO THAT, AND JUDGES KNOW THAT (because it is a Common Law, NOT A STATUTORY, process).

This letter is not intended to threaten, harass or intimidate anyone, but to only provide the chance for these wrongs to finally be made right. I hope and pray that you will accept this opportunity to do so. I will allow thirty (30) days from the date of this letter for your answer or rebuttal before taking further action.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Sharron D. Mangum", followed by a horizontal line extending to the right.

Sharron D. Mangum